

### REMARKS

In response to the Office Action dated April 26, 2006, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration based on the above amendments and the following remarks. Applicants respectfully submit that the claims as presented are in condition for allowance.

Claims 1, 3, 6, and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being unpatentable over Yokota. This rejection is traversed for at least the following reasons.

Claim 1 as amended recites, *inter alia*, "storing identification information associated with a delivery location, the identification information including a street address and a telephone number for the delivery location . . . causing the viewing apparatus to display the identification information on the view of the surroundings, the identification information including street address and telephone number for the delivery location to confirm that the image of the target is the delivery location." Yokota teaches using positional information and image information to provide additional information about an object in an image, but does not teach confirming a delivery location by transmitting a street address and telephone number to the user. Yokota gives examples of information that may be obtained for objects in a view (e.g., Figure 8) but does not relate the image information to a delivery location as recited in claim 1.

For at least the above reasons, claim 1 is patentable over Yokota. Claim 3 depends from claim 1 and is patentable over Yokota for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 1. Independent claim 6 recites features similar to those discussed above with reference to claim 1 and is patentable over Yokota for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 1. Claim 7 depends from claim 6 and is patentable over Yokota for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 6.

Claims 2, 4, 5 and 8-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yokota in view of Bide. This rejection is traversed for at least the following reasons.

With respect to claims 2, 10 and 11, Bide was relied upon for disclosing using orientation information from the viewing apparatus. With respect to claims 4, 5, 8 and 9 Bide was relied upon for disclosing providing a user with an address or a telephone number. Bide, however, fails to cure the deficiencies of Yokota discussed above with reference to claims 1 and 6. Claims 2, 4 and 5 depend from claim 1 and claims 8-11 depend from claim 6. Thus,

02528 (BLL-0198)

claims 2, 4, 5 and 8-11 are patentable over Yokota in view of Bide for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claims 1 and 6.

Claims 12-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Yokota in view of Bide and Hakala. This rejection is traversed for at least the following reasons.

Claim 12 as amended recites, *inter alia*, "storing identification information associated with a delivery location, the identification information including a street address and a telephone number for the delivery location . . . displaying identification information relating to the location on the view of his or her surroundings to the user, the identification information including street address and telephone number for the delivery location to confirm that the image of the target is the delivery location." As discussed above, neither Yokota nor Bide teach or suggest these features. Hakala was relied upon for allegedly disclosing providing identification information as a user comes within range of a location. Hakala, however, fails to cure the deficiencies of Yokota and Bide described above. Thus, the combination of Yokota in view of Bide and Hakala fails to teach at least these features of claim 12.

For at least the above reasons, claim 12 is patentable over Yokota in view of Bide and Hakala. Claims 13-17 variously depend from claim 12 and are patentable over Yokota in view of Bide and Hakala for at least the reasons advanced with reference to claim 12.

In view of the foregoing remarks and amendments, Applicants submit that the above-identified application is now in condition for allowance. Early notification to this effect is respectfully requested.

If there are any charges with respect to this response or otherwise, please charge them to Deposit Account 06-1130.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

David A. Fox  
Registration No. 38,807  
CANTOR COLBURN LLP  
55 Griffin Road South  
Bloomfield, CT 06002  
Telephone (860) 286-2929  
Facsimile (860) 286-0115  
Customer No. 36192

Date: July 26, 2006

02528 (BLL-0198)